IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE SHARE OF TRAVARCONSLCCCTIM AT BROAKULAN.

## Prepant:-

office Hon ble Shri K.T. Koshi, @hief Justice and The Hon ble Shri P.D. Wandars Menon, Judge.

## A.S. No. 19 of 1954.

0.3.No. 75 of 1118 on the file of the District Court, Kottsysm, pending execution in the Temp. Addl. District Court, Parus.

## Appellant; - 8th defendant.

Peerubava Ibrahim of Inchekkattil, Udumbarmoor hars, Kartmarmoor Pakuthy, Thodupuzha, Isluk.

By Advocate Shri N.D. Parameswaran Mambooripad.

<u>Respondents:-</u> Assignce Decree-holder, Criginal decree-holder and defendants 1, 5 to 7, 9 and 10.

- Chacke Joseph, Parethazhathu, Pannoeru Heri, Karimannoer Pakuthy, Thodupuzha Taluk.
- 8. Removed off from the array.
- Avira Oussphiof Mootteeru, Udumbanneeru Mara, Marikanneer Pekuthy, Chedupuzha Taluk. (died).
- 4. Wakkaru Pariyeth of Flathettathil, do. do.
- 5. Avire Chacke of Notteers, do. do.
- 6. Ouseph Anna of do. do.
- 7. Ouseph Agasthy alias Kunjukutty of de.
- 3. Caseph Sosa residing in Sankaranologthu, do.
- 9. Sankara Pillai Arishma Pillai of Pachikkattu, Udumbannooru Muri, Karimannoor Pakuthy.
- . 10. Cuseph Kuriacko of Mottaepara sbil, do. 60.

## 3rd Respondent dica Logal heirs:-

- Cuseph Abraham of Emplooru (Mempanoor Kars, Karumannoor Pekuthy.
- 12. Odseph Joseph of do.
- 13. Cuseph Jacob of do.
- 14. Ouseph Wathow of do.
- 15. Cuseph Kurien of do. (Minor),
- 26. Outeph Thomas of do. (Minor).

Guardian of minor respondents 15 and 15 is their mother, 6th respondent, Ousewh Arma - Cause title is amended as per order on C.W.P. 643/55 dated ad-9-1988.

By Advocate Shri 16.2. Verghese for Respondent 1.

This Appeal Suit having been finally heard on 18-10-1985, this court on the same day delivered the following:-

14.30.36.27 Di

JUDG HATL

Of the two points raised in the appeal the complaint that the lower court's order contravens the provisions of the decree that item 4 should be sold last has no substance. There is now only a direction to proclaim items 4, 8 and 9, and that does not mean the order for sale prescribed by the decree will not be observed.

As for the discharge of Ms. 700/- as per Ext. II we are afraid the lower court's view that Exts. G'and Cl stend in the way of the question of adjustment being resgitated has to be uphold in spite of our pronounced opinion that the assignment of the decree and the attempt of the assignment to execute the decree for the whole amount is a shear abuse of the process of the court.

The appeal is hence dismissed, but without costs.

sd/- K.T. Koshi, C.J.,

Sd/- P.D. Mandans Menon, J

18-10-1955.

(True Copy).

Compan by

Dy. Registrar, for Registrar.

AH/17-xi-55.

A.S. No. 19 of 1954. Copy of Judgment.